Second Review		Bure	au of H aild/Fam 25 In	ment of Education utrition Services, Il Partnerships ark Road ET 06457	NSLP ⊠ SBP ⊠	
Overvi	ew Report of A	dministrat		l Coordina Is Initiativ	ated Review Evaluation (CR	(E) and School
timeframe	represents writter for the required c e administrative	orrective a	on of the	findings of	of this review, required correctes this in the second corrected the seco	tive action, and the formation with the
Sponsor	Name:Fairfield Pu	blic Schools	Spons	sor Numb	er: <u>05100</u>	
Name of Re	viewed hool(s):1. <u>Jenning</u>	<u>18</u>	# <u>17</u>	Date(s)	of Review: February 23 and 24, 2	010
	2. North S	tratfield	# <u>16</u>	Date of	Exit Conference: February 24, 2	<u>2010</u>
	3. McKinle	<u>ey</u>	# <u>06</u>			
	4	#	Res	ponse Du	e Date: March 26, 2010	
State Revi		la Brown			The Response Due Do sponsor's response an Corrective Action Plan Nutrition Programs: No respond by this date we for Reimbursements be	d written is due to the Child ite: Failure to ill result in Claims
☐ No Fin	dings Review (A	ll areas we	re found	to be in co	mpliance and no corrective action	on is required.)
I. Overvie	w of Sponsor Fir	ıdings: Bel	low is an	overview o	of findings of reviewed school(s) Action Plan to the Child Nutrition	.Each problem area
7.11	IEW FINDINGS	CENTRAL OFFICE	SCHOOL LEVEL	POTENTIAL FISCAL ACTION	COMMENTS & CORRECTIVE REQUIRED	
	lication Errors ach S-5)	LEVEL		ACTION	All applications were approved or correctly. Very nice job.	denied
⊠ No	Findings. tive Action Not					
B. Master List Errors					All students on the Master List we correct category based on their a	
	Findings. tive Action Not ed.				status.	
C. Edit	Check Errors				The edit check was not being comple federal regulations. The edit check w	as only used to
	Findings. tive Action Not ed.				ensure that more free or reduced price not claimed than there were free or restudents. The other piece of the edit 50% or more of the serving days in the serving days	educed eligible check, that if on

Page 1 of 4

REVIEW FINDINGS	CENTRAL OFFICE LEVEL	SCHOOL LEVEL	POTENTIAL FISCAL ACTION	COMMENTS & CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUIRED
		ā!		free or reduced price meals were claimed than the product of the free or reduced price eligible students times the Attendance Factor that those days would be investigated was not done. NOTE: The reviewer reviewed the edit check worksheet and determined that edit check threshold was not reached at any school for the review month. Corrective action: List the steps to be taken to ensure that the edit check is completed each month.
D. Counting & Claiming Errors – For the Day of the Review Counting & Claiming Errors – For the				The electronic point of sale system works very well. The cashiers were well trained and knew what comprised a reimbursable meal and ensured that all children took a reimbursable meal. The staff also encouraged the children to take milk, fruits and vegetables even if they had the minimum for a reimbursable meal. The staff interacted well with the students. There were no errors in consolidating the claim for reimbursement.
Review Month No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				
E. Policy Statement Errors				
No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				
F. Verification Errors No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				Verification was conducted in accordance with federal regulations with the exception that the letter of adverse action did not contain all of the required language. The Eligibility Manual for School Meals, Part 8, Section K. Notice of Adverse Action lists the items that must be contained in the letter of adverse action. The missing language is the notification that if they request a fair hearing the children in the household will continue to receive the benefits the child was originally approved for until a final determination is made by the hearing official. Note: the correct language is included in sample letters on the child nutrition website. Corretive action: Submit a copy of the letter of adverse action to be used next year that includes the required language.
G. Civil Rights Errors				
No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				

REVIEW FINDINGS	CENTRAL OFFICE LEVEL	SCHOOL LEVEL	POTENTIAL FISCAL ACTION	COMMENTS & CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUIRED
H. Onsite Monitoring No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				The Onsite Monitoring visits were conducted early in the school year. This is a good practice, that is not often done, that will help catch any problems early.
I. Record Maintenance No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				
J. SMI Errors No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				Production records were complete and standardized recipes are used, excellent documentation maintained. A nutrient analysis will be conducted for McKinely School and a report will be sent to the district. Corrective action, if needed, will be requested at that time.
K. Menu Errors No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				Menus were found to be incompliance with the Tradititonal Food Based Meal Pattern. The district's menus reflected a variety of fruits, vegetables, and entrees serving nutritious reimbursable meals.
L. Other Findings: e.g., Commodities, Procurement, Sanitation, Financial Management, Parent & Student Involvement, Attendance at Meetings/Training Sessions, HACCP, School Nutrition Environment, Wellness Policy, etc. No Findings. Corrective Action Not Required.				1. The Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) plan for the district was reviewed and there was excellent documentation that the HACCP principals were being followed. 2. The Wellness Committee is active.
M. Second Review Required Second Review Not Required.	77		×	

Note: Each problem area listed above must be addressed in your response to the Child Nutrition Programs.

II. <u>Additional comments and recommendations</u>. The sponsor must address each of these areas in their Corrective Action Plan and response letter. (Use an additional Comment & Recommendation Form if necessary.)

The food service director is very well informed. She demonstrates an excellent knowledge of the program

requirements and regulations. The kitchens were well maintained and the required civil rights poster, choking poster and

health inspection report were posted. Also, the cafeteria had materials displayed promoting good nutrition. Overall, the

child nutrition program is very well run and organized. The findings are minor in nature and should not obscure the positive aspects of the program, both administrative and food service. This was a very good review.

The CNP reviewer and the sponsor representative must sign and date this report at the exit conference. A copy must be made for the sponsor and the CNP reviewer retains the original. Note: You have the right to appeal any or all findings directly to the State Department of Education. Procedures for filing an appeal will be provided upon request.

State Reviewer, Child Nutrition Programs: Mat Gard Linuid Buy Date: 2/24/2010

Sponsor Representative: Journ D. Physical Date: 2/24/2010